

E-filing

FILED

SEP 10 2004

RICHARD W. WIEKING
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1 Teresa M. Corbin (SBN 132360)
Thomas Mavrakakis (SBN 177927)
2 Matthew E. Hocker (SBN 188546)
HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP
3 301 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, California 94025
4 Telephone: (650) 463-8100
Facsimile: (650) 463-8400

5 Attorneys for Defendants AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
6 AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX
7 GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
CORP. and MATROX TECH, INC. and for Plaintiff
8 SYNOPSYS, INC.

9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

12 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,

13 Plaintiff,

14 vs.

15 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,

16 Defendants.

17 SYNOPSYS, INC.,

18 Plaintiff,

19 vs.

20 RICOH COMPANY, LTD., a Japanese
21 corporation

22 Defendant.

Case No. C03-04669 MJJ (EMC)

Case No. C03-2289 MJJ (EMC)

**STIPULATION ALLOWING SYNOPSYS
AND THE DEFENDANTS TO FILE A
RESPONSIVE BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF
CLAIM CONSTRUCTION EXCEEDING 25
PAGES AND ORDER THEREON**

23
24
25
26
27
28
STIPULATION ALLOWING SYNOPSYS AND THE
DEFENDANTS TO FILE A RESPONSIVE BRIEF
EXCEEDING 25 PAGES
Case Nos. 03-04669 MJJ (EMC) and 03-02289 MJJ (EMC)

HOWREY
SIMON
ARNOLD &
WHITE

1 **IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED** by and between Ricoh Company, Ltd. and
 2 Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. and Defendants Aeroflex, Incorporated, AMI Semiconductor, Inc, Matrox
 3 Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox International Corp. and Matrox Tech, Inc.
 4 ("Defendants") that due to the nature of the technology of the patent-in-suit and the number of claims
 5 which must be addressed in the claim construction briefs, and that Ricoh's opening brief addressing
 6 such issues was 53 pages, Synopsys and Defendants may file this Stipulation requesting leave of the
 7 Court to file a responsive brief in support of their claim construction exceeding 25 pages up to and
 8 including ~~80~~⁵³ pages without opposition from Ricoh Company, Ltd.

9 Dated: September 8, 2004

11 HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP

13 By: /s/ Matthew E. Hocker

14 Teresa M. Corbin

15 Thomas C. Mavrakakis

Matthew E. Hocker

16 Attorneys for Defendants

17 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,

18 AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX

19 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX

GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL

CORP. and MATROX TECH, INC. and Plaintiff

SYNOPSIS, INC.

21 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY, LLP

22 By: _____

Gary Hoffman (*pro hac vice*)

23 Kenneth W. Brothers (*pro hac vice*)

Edward M. Meilman (*pro hac vice*)

24 ALTSHULER, BERZON NUSSBAUM, RUBIN &
 25 DEMAIN

Jeffrey B. Demain

26 Attorneys for Plaintiff and Defendant

27 RICOH COMPANY, LTD.

28 STIPULATION ALLOWING SYNOPSIS AND THE 2
 DEFENDANTS TO FILE A RESPONSIVE BRIEF
 EXCEEDING 25 PAGES AND ORDER THEREON
 Case Nos. 03-04669 MJJ (EMC) and 03-02289 MJJ (EMC)

HOWREY
 SIMON
 ARNOLD &
 WHITE

SEP. 8. 2004 2:17PM

NO. 1729 P. 3

1 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Ricoh Company, Ltd. and
2 Plaintiff Synopsys, Inc. and Defendants Aeroflex, Incorporated, AMI Semiconductor, Inc, Matrox
3 Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox International Corp. and Matrox Tech, Inc.
4 ("Defendants") that due to the nature of the technology of the patent-in-suit and the number of claims
5 which must be addressed in the claim construction briefs, and that Ricoh's opening brief addressing
6 such issues was 53 pages, Synopsys and Defendants may file this Stipulation requesting leave of the
7 Court to file a responsive brief in support of their claim construction exceeding 25 pages up to and
8 including 80 pages without opposition from Ricoh Company, Ltd. ~

9 Dated: September 8, 2004

11 HOWREY SIMON ARNOLD & WHITE, LLP

13 By: _____

14 Teresa M. Corbin
15 Thomas C. Mavrakakis
16 Matthew E. Hocker

17 Attorneys for Defendants
18 AEROFLEX INCORPORATED,
19 AMI SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., MATROX
20 ELECTRONIC SYSTEMS, LTD., MATROX
21 GRAPHICS INC., MATROX INTERNATIONAL
22 CORP. and MATROX TECH, INC. and Plaintiff
23 SYNOPSYS, INC.

21 DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY, LLP

22 By: _____

23 Gary Hoffman (*pro hac vice*)
24 Kenneth W. Brothers (*pro hac vice*)
25 Edward M. Meilman (*pro hac vice*)

26 ALTSHULER, BERZON NUSSBAUM, RUBIN &
27 DEMAIN
28 Jeffrey B. Demain

Attorneys for Plaintiff and Defendant
RICOH COMPANY, LTD.

HOWREY
SIMON
ARNOLD &
WHITE

STIPULATION ALLOWING SYNOPSYS AND THE
DEFENDANTS TO FILE A RESPONSIVE BRIEF
EXCEEDING 25 PAGES AND ORDER THEREON
Case Nos. 03-04669 MJJ (BMC) and 03-02289 MJJ (EMC)

2


RECEIVED TIME SEP. 8. 2:44PM

ORDER

Having read and considered the foregoing stipulation, and good cause appearing therefor,

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED that the claim construction brief filed on behalf of Synopsys, Inc. and Defendants Aeroflex Incorporated, AMI Semiconductor, Inc., Matrox Electronic Systems, Ltd., Matrox Graphics, Inc., Matrox International Corp. and Matrox Tech, Inc. may exceed the page limits specified in Civil L.R. 7.3, as stipulated by the parties.

Dated: 9/10/2004



Hon. Martin J. Jenkins